

**Report No:** N1  
**Objections:** 831, 370  
**Support:**  
**Subject:** Introduction  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:**  
**Para:** 9.1.2  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

1. 1<sup>st</sup> bullet point – BNFL has previously made public its plans to cease Magnox fuel reprocessing by 2012, but for oxide fuel reprocessing at THORP. Therefore the Council should consult the Draft Nuclear Sites and Radioactive Substances Bill, the NDA or BNFL (831).
  2. 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point – should refer to Nuclear Decommissioning Authority not Agency (831)
  3. Government has left open a possible option to invest in nuclear power in the future (370)
  4. Important that Parishes in close proximity to Sellafield continue to be involved (370)
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Existing wording agreed with BNG
  2. Agreed
  3. Noted
  4. Noted
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

Para 9.1.2 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point (1D): “Agency” replaced with “Authority”

**Report No:** N2  
**Objections:** 832  
**Support:**  
**Subject:** Oxide fuel reprocessing  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:**  
**Para:** 9.3.3  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

1. Paragraph should refer to fact that oxide fuel reprocessing will continue at THORP (see also Report N1)
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Existing wording agreed with BNG
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

No change

**Report No:** N3  
**Objections:** 990  
**Support:**  
**Subject:** Radioactive Waste Storage and Disposal  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:** NUC1  
**Para:**  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

1. "Local Copeland Community" should be immediate local community NOT whole of Copeland community as some Copeland Borough Council members so often indicate
  2. Hoped that policy is extended to include Drigg Nuclear Waste Disposal Facility and any measures are discussed with Drigg and Carleton PC before being introduced. It is local population of Drigg and Holmrook that has the day to day disruption of the needs of the Drigg Nuclear Waste Disposal Facility - this must be recognised in any planning gain.
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Interpretation of 'local community' will be relative to the scale and type of development. For major developments this is likely to extend further than the immediate communities of Drigg and Holmrook.
  2. Policy will apply to all major developments. Drigg and Carleton PC will be consulted on all planning applications received by the Council which fall within the parish. The Council will seek planning obligations in accordance with DEV8 (2D)
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

No change

**Report No:** N4  
**Objections:** 564  
**Support:**  
**Subject:** Increased highway activity  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:** NUC 2 / 3  
**Para:**  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

1. Decommissioning and NDA proposals could potentially result in an increased activity at the site and impact the trunk road network. Add "The Highways Agency should be consulted at the earliest opportunity on any proposed increase in activity on the site which may impact upon the trunk road network."
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Decommissioning and NDA proposals are likely to result in decreased activity at the Sellafield site. Any proposals for major development at the site would be subject to other plan policies which where relevant would involve consultation with the Highways Agency.
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

No change

**Report No:** N5  
**Objections:** 744  
**Support:**  
**Subject:** Development contiguous to Sellafield  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:**  
**Para:** 9.3.6  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

1. Paragraph should make better cross reference to Policy NUC3 and state that only when the sequence described in NUC3 cannot be met will exceptional circumstances permit the location contiguous to the Sellafield site.
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Agreed
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

Amend para 9.3.6 to read “Where exceptional operational or other grounds dictate that non radioactive development cannot be located in accordance with NUC3, the location of development contiguous or very close to the Sellafield site would be considered favourably in the context of Local Plan Policies DEV 6 and DEV 8 subject to the applicant entering into a planning agreement or making a unilateral undertaking to address the loss of this investment elsewhere in the Borough.”

**Report No:** N6  
**Objections:**  
**Support:** 471, 991  
**Subject:** Drigg Disposal Site  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:** NUC4  
**Para:**  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

#### **Support**

1. English Nature support policy and will object to any proposals which adversely affect Drigg Coast candidate SAC (471).
  2. Support – Drigg and Carleton Parish Council (991)
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Support noted
  2. Support noted
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

No change

**Report No:** N7  
**Objections:** 992  
**Support:** 597  
**Subject:** Transport of materials to Drigg  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:** NUC5  
**Para:**  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

#### **Objection**

1. It would be hoped that CBC would support Drigg & Carleton PC in any actions that ensure all materials whether for construction *or* disposal are transported by rail (992)

#### **Support**

1. Network Rail support policy as reflects on going local authority support for use of existing railway infrastructure (597)
- 

### **RESPONSE**

#### **Objection**

1. Requiring that materials for disposal are transported to the Drigg site cannot be made a condition of a planning consent but Council would negotiate with site operator to seek waste material is transported to the site by rail, in line with Policy TSP 9.

#### **Support**

1. Support noted
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

No change

**Report No:** N8  
**Objections:** 993  
**Support:**  
**Subject:** “Drigg”  
**Chapter:** 9  
**Policy:**  
**Para:** 9.4  
**Other:**

---

### **ISSUES RAISED**

1. Drigg is referred to both as the village and the Low Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Site. Drigg is a community and should be recognised as such. The disposal site should be clearly identified at all times in the plan as such.
- 

### **RESPONSE**

1. Agreed
- 

### **PROPOSED CHANGES**

“Drigg” replaced with “Drigg Disposal Site” at heading 9.4, para 9.4.2, NUC2 (1D)