

Report No: 2D1
Objections:
Support: 2154, 2378
Subject: Sustainable Development
Chapter: 1
Policy:
Para: 1.2.4
Other:

ISSUES RAISED

1. Support reference to ensuring all development is sustainable (2154, 2378)

RESPONSE

1. Support noted

PROPOSED CHANGES

No change

Report No: 2D2
Objections:
Support: 2155
Subject: Para 1.3.3
Chapter: 1
Policy:
Para: 1.3.3
Other:

ISSUES RAISED

1. Support inclusion of paragraph which stresses the importance of reading the Plan as a whole and that all policies will be applied when considering development proposals.

RESPONSE

1. Support noted

PROPOSED CHANGES

No change

Report No: 2D3
Objections: 2318
Support:
Subject: Current status of RSS
Chapter: 2
Policy:
Para: 2.4.1 - 2.4.2
Other:

ISSUES RAISED

1. Considered that additional comment is warranted, in particular to two on going reviews.
In respect of the partial review, it is important to note that the Panels Report has now been received and gives much added weight to:
 - i) A new Core Development Principle (DP5) relating to climate change (including the urgent need to make commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and for Development Plans to develop policies to address climate change impacts.
 - ii) Energy policies, including adoption of the 'energy hierarchy'
 - iii) Planning for waste, including measures to promote the sustainable management of waste.

A full review of RSS commenced last autumn and it is anticipated that a draft revised RSS will be the subject of public consultation in late summer / early autumn 2005.

RESPONSE

1. In line with GONW objection (Report D2) this chapter has been edited and further detail with regard to RPG / RSS policy is discussed in the relevant topic chapters.
-

PROPOSED CHANGES

No change in terms of further text to be added. Suggested that paragraph 2.4.1 be factually updated.

Report No: 2D4
Objections: 2084
Support:
Subject: Structure Plan adoption
Chapter: 2
Policy:
Para: 2.5.2
Other:

ISSUES RAISED

1. It is anticipated adoption of the Structure Plan will be late Spring 2006, not 2005.

RESPONSE

1. Noted

PROPOSED CHANGES

Paragraph 2.5.2 to be factually updated.

Report No: 2D5
Objections:
Support: 2065, 2156
Subject: Local Plan Aims
Chapter: 2
Policy:
Para: 2.7.2
Other:

ISSUES RAISED

1. United Utilities take a 'demand led' approach to provision of utility infrastructure and will provide for customers as appropriate. The most sustainable was is to utilise existing utility infrastructure capacity (2065).
2. Support first three bullet points (2156)

RESPONSE

1&2. Support noted

PROPOSED CHANGES

No change

Report No: 2D6
Objections: 2297, 2279, 2347
Support: 2157
Subject: Local Plan Objectives
Chapter: 2
Policy:
Para: 2.8
Other:

ISSUES RAISED

Objections

1. Criterion 5 - In protecting and enhancing landscapes and townscapes it is important to retain local distinctiveness and sense of place. It is suggested that “maintaining and strengthening local distinctiveness and sense of place” is added after “townscapes”. Criterion 7 -The historic environment is all around us, it includes not only that which has statutory designation and protection but also that which is locally valued and important. It is suggested that the objective be reworded to reflect this as follows; “protect. Enhance and manage sites, areas, buildings and features of national and local historical and archaeological importance. (2297)
2. Objective 15 of the Local Plan picks up case for small rural businesses, however no real assessment of agriculture and farming and how it can support the economy of the Borough . In wake of foot and mouth diversification of farming is essential. Also landscape managed, traditional buildings reused , biodiversity, historical and archaeological features protects and enhanced. Farm diversification should be included as a specific objective of the Local Plan (2279)
3. Objective which highlights importance of meeting residents shopping needs should be added “18. To ensure that the shopping needs of residents of the Borough are met.” (2347)

Support

1. Support criteria 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14 (2157)
-

RESPONSE

Objection

1. Criterion 5 - It is felt that objective as stated in 2nd Deposit is appropriate. Further relevant detailed policies which support and build on the objective are included throughout the Plan.

Criterion 7 – Felt that use of term ‘Protect and enhance’ is more appropriate. Management of sites, areas buildings and features is beyond the scope of a Local Plan and is therefore not appropriate to be included as a specific objective.

2. Diversification of urban and rural economies is a general objective which would include, amongst many other activities, farm diversification. It is not necessary or appropriate to refer just specifically to farm diversification.
3. ‘Shopping needs’ would will be addressed by Objective 2 “Ensure that local facilities and services are available to all”. It is not necessary to include an additional objective.

Support

1. Support noted.

PROPOSED CHANGES

No change